

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMIRCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/687,871	10/20/2003	Jene Wolber	9	R 303086	2071	
7590 06/28/2004				EXAMINER		
Walter Otteser	n			HOANG, Jo	OHNNY H	
Patent Attorney P.O. Box 4026				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	MD 20885-4026			3747		
				DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/687,871	WOLBER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Johnny H. Hoang	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 October 2003.						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object are drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
2) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	4) ☐ Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mai 08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informa 6) ☐ Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/687,871

Art Unit: 3747

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Inventorship

1. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saito et al (US 6,651,491 B2).

Regarding claim 1, the reference of Saito et al discloses a failure diagnostic system of evaporated fuel processing system including the following subject matters: a first failure

b2

Application/Control Number: 10/687,871

Art Unit: 3747

diagnostic system and a second failure diagnostic system (see abstract), the diagnostic system comprising the steps of:

Page 3

determining a fault of said pressure system having a pressure sensor with said first diagnostic system (col. 4, lines 26-56); and

checking at least said second diagnostic system as second fault as a consequence of said pressure system fault determined with said first diagnostic system (col. 4, lines 57-67).

Regarding claims 2-6, as discussed in above rejected claim, and the reference of Saito et al further discloses in col. 5, line 1 through col. 6, line 42).

Regarding claims 7-8, as discussed in the method of above rejected claims.

Regarding claim 9, as above discussions.

Regarding to claim 10, the reference of Saito et al further discloses the ECU (11) controls the diagnostic failure system (see figs. 3-5, and col. 6, lines 5-55).

Regarding claims 11-14, as above rejections.

Regarding claims 15-16, as discussed in claims 1-9.

### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The copies of U.S Patent are provided.

Roelle et al (US 2004/0074453 A1), Fujino et al (US 6,691,023 B2), and Nagashima et al (US 6,754,611 B2).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Johnny H. Hoang whose telephone number is (703) 308-2782. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00Am-5: 30Pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JHH June 24, 2004 Johnny H. Hoang Examiner Art Unit 3747

Henry C. Yuen Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700